

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/516,937

**Applicant(s)**

MURAKAMI ET AL.

**Examiner**

BRANDON J. MILLER

**Art Unit**

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BRANDON J. MILLER.(3) William Pieprz.(2) Yasuhide Ono.(4) Kaoru Takagahara.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16, 21 and 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Asano (US 6,941,113 B2).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed various embodiments of claimed invention including selecting one of a first and second frame configuration and it was determined that prior art reference Asano does not teach this feature.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brandon J Miller/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2617